



Emerging engagement: Descriptive and theoretical issues

Engagement in Kogi demonstratives

Dominique Knuchel (University of Bern)

50th Annual Meeting of the Societas Linguistica Europaea

10 – 13 September 2017

University of Zurich, Switzerland

Outline

I. Introduction

- The Kogi language
- Engagement marking in the verb system

II. Engagement in demonstratives

- The demonstrative system of Kogi
- *Twēnié* / *twē* as joint attention demonstrative
- Evidence from elicitation and matcher-director task

III. Conclusions

I. Introduction



The Kogi language

- Chibchan language family
- Arwako subgroup: Kogi, Ika, Damana, † Kankuamo
- about 9'000 speakers
- Northern Colombia, Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta



Engagement marking in the verb system

- A set of four prefixes found with auxiliary verbs which signal (a)symmetries in the distribution of knowledge /access to an event between speech act participants (Bergqvist 2016)

	Speaker Perspective	Addressee Perspective
Symmetric	<i>ni-</i>	<i>shi-</i>
Asymmetric	<i>na-</i>	<i>sha-</i>

Engagement marking in the verb system

(1) a. *kába-gasã* *ni-ba-kú*
sleep-NEG.POT SPKR.SYM-2SG-do
'You can't sleep anymore.' [Because it's morning]

b. *kába-gasã* *na-ba-kú*
sleep-NEG.POT SPKR.ASYM-2SG-do
'You can't sleep anymore.' [Because I say so, for reasons
unknown to you.]

(Bergqvist 2016:21-22)

II. Engagement in demonstratives



Kogi demonstrative system

- Sketchy description by Ortiz Ricaurte (2000)
- Nominal, local adverbial and verbal demonstratives
- Parameters
 - Endophoric vs. exophoric contexts
 - Distance
 - Visibility
 - Selecting one from several objects
 - Engagement (i.e. joint attention)

Nominal demonstratives

- Initial (distance-based) analysis of nominal demonstratives

	EXOPHORIC			ENDOPHORIC
	SPKR.PROX	ADDR.PROX	DIST	
	<i>hěnié / hě</i>	<i>twěnié / twě</i>	<i>kwěnié</i>	<i>ě</i>
Choosing one of several	<i>halde</i>	<i>twalde</i>	<i>kwěnié</i>	

- Elicitation by manipulating location of object and addressee
 - Frame: _____ *plato nagégwa!* ‘Hand me _____ plate!’
- Some instances suggest that *twěnié / twě* do not imply proximity to addressee

Elicitation of demonstratives

Context	Form
Object is close to ADDR, visible to both SAP	<i>twēnié plato nagégwa</i>
Object is close to, invisible to and unattended to by ADDR	<i>plato <u>tweka te nukká</u> nagégwa</i>
Object is close to ADDR, not visible to SPKR	<i>plato <u>tweni te nukká</u> nagégwa</i>
Object is visible, but far away from both SAP	<i>1. kwēnié plato nagégwa</i> <i>2. twēnié plato nagégwa</i>

Are *twēnié/twē* expressing shared engagement?

Hypothesis

- *twēnié/twē* are used, irrespective of distance, to refer to an object that is in the addressee's attention
- Can be viewed as an instance of engagement, as the form is used to signal joint attention (cf. Evans et al. f. c.)

Data

- Elicitation
- Staged communicative event: matcher-director-task

Evidence from elicitation

- *twēnié* is used when joint attention is established, irrespective of proximity to addressee

(2) Context: Two speakers are talking about objects located at a distance.

A: *Kwēnié.* 'That one over there!' [pointing out one of the objects]

B: *Kwēnié?* 'That one over there?' [checking whether the B has identified the right object]

A: *Aha, twēnié.* 'Yes, that one.' [confirming that B has identified the one A pointed out]

Evidence from elicitation

- *twěnié* cannot be used when joint attention is not yet established

(3) Context: The speaker refers to an object that is located behind the addressee.

A: *Plato tweka te nukká (/ʔtwěnié) na-gé-gwa!*
plate DEM stand be.located 1SG-hand-IMP.SG
'Hand me the plate that is there (near you)!'

B: *Hěnié plato?*
'This plate (close to me)?'

A: *Aha, **twěnié**.*
'Yes, that one.'

Evidence from matcher-director-task

- Variation of shape classifier task (Seifart 2003, 2005)
- Inspired by study on Jahai demonstratives (Burenhult 2003)
- Video / audio recording of a staged communicative event
- 25 objects of various shapes and sizes



Matcher-director-task "ShaClaTa"



Observed strategies

To divert matcher's attention to an object

- description of object
- signaling its location with demonstratives, e.g. *hekí bälđ* 'towards me', *kukí bälđ* 'away from me', *hai* 'here'

To approve matcher's choice (i.e. when joint attention is established)

- no verbal confirmation
- nominal demonstrative, often preceded by *akzẽ* 'yes' or Spanish *ese*

<i>twẽnié</i>	all speakers
<i>twẽ</i>	one speaker
<i>twalde</i>	one speaker

Observed strategies

To refer to an object when joint attention has been established

- exophoric demonstratives *twěnié*, *twě* (no instances of *twalde*)
- endophoric demonstrative *ě*

Use of *twěnié* / *twě*

Signaling that joint attention is established:

- Both are used by director to approve the matcher's choice
- Speaker intuitions:
 - *ese twěnié* can be translated with 'That's the correct one.'

Referring back to object after joint attention has been established:

- Both are used to refer to an object previously picked by the matcher
- In these contexts, they vary with the anaphoric demonstrative *ě*



Example (4)

(4) D: *no, hekí bāld akldé agwáng hwakwe*
no DEM towards more less thick
'No, towards this side, more, less thick.'

M: *hēnié?*
DEM
'This one?'

D: *mh no, meilde shi-nald-akka na*
uhm no which.one ADR.SYM-be-POT be
'Uhm no, which one could it be?'

M: *halde*
DEM
'This one?'

Example (4)

D: *hekí bāld* *hai* *abakkalda=k* *ipá-ma-lde* *te nukká,*
 DEM towards DEM on.top=LOC put.FLAT-2SG-PST put be.located
 ‘Towards this side, here, where that one is which you put on top before.’

M: *hēnié*
 DEM
 This one?

D: *aha nās hangwa twēnié, ákte*
 yes 1SG think DEM, put.upright
 Yes, I think it's that one, put it upright.

(kog_170818_cnc_sct, 29-34)



Example (5)

(5)

D: *ezwa ama kēyakēyá-gatse naldachak zumēya tũ-gatse*
one uhm edged-seem but star look-seem
'One, uhm, with edges but it looks like a star.'

M: *kēyakēyá-gatse naldachák zumēya tũ-gatse*
edged-seem but star look-seem
'One with edges but it looks like a star.'

meilde shi-hangu-kú, zumēya tũ-gatse?
which.one ADR.SYM-think-1SG star look-seem
'Which one may it be? It looks like a star?'

D: *hai hē nzha ni-hangu-kú hai kēyakēyá-gatse hai*
DEM DEM SPKR.SYM.be SPKR.SYM-think-1SG DEM edged-seem DEM
'Here, it's this one, I think. Here, the one with the edges, here.' [gestures with lips]

Example (5)

M: *kěyakěyá-gatse naldachák hui hukase, hēnié?*
edged-seem but house roof, DEM
'With edges but like the roof of a house, this one?'

D: *twē shi-nalda*
DEM ADR.SYM-be
'Is it that one?'

ē=ki makēwã ak-ldukka ezwa mozhwa twē=ki maigwa mechwi
DEM=FOC four 3SG.IO-be one two DEM=FOC three only
'This one [in the picture] has four sides, that one only has three.'

twē tūgatse ama tweka mua-ka pa nakldo
DEM look-seem uhm DEM middle=LOC be.FLAT be.located
'It's similar to that one, uhm, it's there in the middle.'

Example (5)

M: *hēnié?*
DEM
‘This one?’

D: *ese twē*
DEM DEM
‘[Yes] that one.’

(kog_170826_sct3-2)

Use of *twalde*

- Referring to one of several objects
- Used by only one speaker in the task (possibly regional variation)
- Employed to approve, but also negate matcher's choice

Director: *ezwa=ki sädämpakwe zhawa* 'Another flat one.'
one=FOC flat DIM

Matcher: [touches a flat square]

Director: *ahah, twalde=ki naldagälde ē=ki* 'No, it's not that one, this
no DEM=FOC be-NEG DEM=FOC one is round but flat.'

huinígatse naldachák sädämpakwe zhawa
round but flat DIM

III. Conclusions



Summary

- Nominal demonstratives *twěnié/twě* are used to refer to objects that are in the addressee's attention, irrespective of distance
- *twěnié/twě* cannot be used to refer to objects that are not in the addressee's attention
- To divert the addressee's attention to an object, its location must be specified by other demonstratives
- Other nominal demonstratives encode distance
 - *hěnié*: objects close (to speaker)
 - *kwěnié*: objects far away from SAPs

Comparison to other systems

- Kogi is akin to the system of Jahai (Burenhult 2003) which has a joint attention demonstrative that contrasts with several other forms indicating distance
- Kogi is in a way a mirror image of the Turkish system

Kogi		
	DISTANCE	
JOINT ATTENTION	close to SPKR	far from SAP
—	<i>hěnié</i>	<i>kwěnié</i>
+	<i>twěnié</i>	

Turkish		
	DISTANCE	
JOINT ATTENTION	close to SPKR	far from SAP
+	<i>bu</i>	<i>o</i>
—	<i>şu</i>	

Outlook

- Work in progress!
- Situation with objects close to the speaker?
- Related local adverbial forms *twai*, *tweka*, *tweni*?
- Variation among speakers

References I

- Bergqvist, Henrik. 2016. Complex epistemic perspective in Kogi (Arwako). *International Journal of American Linguistics* 82(1), 1–34.
- Burenhult, Niclas. 2003. Attention, accessibility, and the addressee: The case of the Jahai demonstrative *ton*. *Pragmatics* 13, 363-379.
- Ortiz Ricaurte, Carolina. 2000. La lengua kogui: fonología y sintaxis nominal. In: María S. Gonzáles de Pérez and María L. Rodríguez de Montes (eds.). *Lenguas indígenas de Colombia: Una visión descriptiva*. Bogotá: Instituto Caro y Cuervo. 759–80.
- Evans, Nicholas R., Henrik Bergqvist and Lila San Roque. submitted. The grammar of engagement. *Language and Cognition*. UK Cognitive Linguistics Association. Cambridge University Press.
- Özyürek, Asli and Sotaro Kita. n.d. Joint attention and distance in the semantics of Turkish and Japanese demonstrative systems.
- Özyürek, Asli. 1998. An analysis of the basic meaning of Turkish demonstratives in face-to-face conversational interaction. In: S. Santi, I. Guaitella, C. Cave and G. Konopczynski (eds.). *Oralité et gestualité: Communication multimodale, interaction*. Paris: L'Harmattan. 609-614.

References II

- Seifart, Frank. 2003. Encoding shape: Formal means and semantic distinctions. In: Nick Enfield (ed.). *Field research manual 2003 part I: Multimodal interaction, space, event representation*. Nijmegen: Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics. 57-59.
- 2005. *The structure and use of shape-based noun classes in Miraña (North West Amazon)*. Radboud University PhD thesis.